



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,656	03/23/2004	Seiji Terazawa	2271/60963-B	3983
7590	02/10/2005		EXAMINER BEATTY, ROBERT B	
Ivan S. Kavrukov Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**Application No. **10/806,656**

Applicant(s)

TERAZAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Robert Beatty

Art Unit

2852

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 139-165 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 139-156, 164 and 165 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 157-163 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8/11/04 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/465,674.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2852

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. Claim 163 is objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 163, line 3, "said fitting portion" lacks proper antecedent basis. It is believed that applicant meant this claim to depend from claim 161. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Art Unit: 2852

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35

U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 139,141,148-153,165 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oishi (JP# 08-292636) in view of Ichikawa et al.

Oishi teach a toner container 1 comprising a toner outlet for dispensing toner into an image forming apparatus via gravity when the container is inverted. The container will have a vent hole 9 on the bottom of the container for venting air to the container. The vent hole will have a filter 6 covering the hole. The container has a decreasing cross-section toward the outlet forming inclined surfaces wherein the inclined surface are approximately 45 degrees. Specifically, Oishi teach everything claimed except the packing density of the toner within the container being less than  $0.7 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

Ichikawa et al. teach a toner container that typically have packing densities of about  $0.3 \text{ g/cm}^3$  to  $0.36 \text{ g/cm}^3$  (col.1, lines 34-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that toner cartridges would typically be packed with toner below  $0.7 \text{ g/cm}^3$  because this is very well known procedure in the art and would allow for using an easy and efficient auger packing method.

4. Claim 140 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oishi (JP# 08-292636) in view of Ichikawa et al. as applied to claims 139,141,148-153,165 and further in view of Kitajima (JP# 03-241372).

The combination of Oishi and Ichikawa et al. taught supra discloses most of what is claimed except the toner container being flexible/deformable. Kitajima teach a toner container 40 made from a flexible material 41a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Oishi's toner container to be flexible because prevention of scattering of toner and a reduction of cost can be obtained as taught in Kitajima.

5. Claims 142-147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oishi (JP# 08-292636) in view of Ichikawa et al. as applied to claims 139,141,148-153,165 and further in view of Yabaneta (JP# 06-175490).

The combination of Oishi and Ichikawa et al. taught supra, discloses most of what is claimed except the toner container and the image forming apparatus using a mating portion for forming a hermetic sealed outlet and using an airstream to drive the toner out of the toner container.

Yabaneta teach a developing apparatus 6 and a toner container 11 located above. A air suction pump 12 will pump air into the toner container via conduit 16 so that toner can be drawn out via a toner conduit 17 and into a collection well (see Fig. 3 and 6. A trap door 32 will dispense the toner into the developing apparatus.

The toner container has an outlet having a mating portion 37 for mating with the image forming apparatus. The mating portion comprises a tubular body 39 covered by an aluminum foil member 38 and further containing a foam seal 40 and a gasket 45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to seal the connection between the toner container and the image forming apparatus because leaking toner can be prevented. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use air to deliver toner to an image forming apparatus from a toner container because this is a cleaner delivery scheme as taught in Yabaneta.

6. Claims 154-156, 164 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitajima (JP# 03-241372) in view of Ichikawa et al.

Kitajima teaches a toner container 40 comprising a flexible and deformable sack 41a, having a toner outlet 41e sealed with a cap 42, and a tubular mating portion 41c, 41f, 41d so as to tightly mate with a developing station. Since the sack is deformable, variations in air pressure will effect its volume. Rigid position preserving means 41b encircles the container keep the container in the proper attaching position

Art Unit: 2852

7. Claims 157-163 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Terazawa et al. '492 and Terazawa et al. '983 are the patented parent applications. Tomita (JP), Takami (JP), Tamagaki (JP) teach various toner containers with vents or being flexible.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,656

Art Unit: 2852

Page 7

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Beatty". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending from the end of the name.

Robert Beatty  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2852

February 6, 2005